

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,930	_	07/21/2003	Makoto Otsuka	44471-287892	44471-287892 6763	
23370	7590	08/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JOHN S. I	-	-	KRECK,	KRECK, JOHN J		
	KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA	A, GA 30	309		3673		
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·				
	10/624,930	OTSUKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Kreck	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r.n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	<del>'-</del>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 13 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restrict	hdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/624,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claims 5 and 9 lack antecedent basis for "the compaction condition F". Claim 9 also lacks antecedent basis for torque, since claim 7 does not call for rotation. Claim 9 has been examined as if it depends from claim 8 rather than claim 7.

Claim 7 is unclear since it sets forth several definitions for the compaction completion; it is not clear when the compaction is complete

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3673

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa (U.S. Patent number 3,772,892). Ogawa teaches a sand pile driving method wherein compaction is completed when the pile cross section reaches a given state.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 7-207653.

The JP reference teaches the process of compacting by rotation and pressing; driving a first plurality of poles, and driving a second plurality of piles (i.e. the array of piles shown in figure 4 inherently includes first and second plurality; the second plurality is deemed to be the inner row). The JP reference fails to explicitly disclose the second plurality between the previously driven first plurality.

The selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results; therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the JP process to Application/Control Number: 10/624,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

have included driving the second plurality between the previously driven first plurality as called for in claim 10. (See In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946))

- 2. Claims 1-4, and 13 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 5, 8, and 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggest the process of driving a sand pile wherein the compacting time is controlled based on a driving torque.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is (703)308-2725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703)308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN KHEUN John Kreckprimary ExaminER

Page 5

Examiner Art Unit 3673

JJK